

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,230	30 08/26/2003		Sokichi Takemura	031028	1894
23850	7590	10/08/2004		EXAM	INER
ARMSTRO	NG, KR	ATZ, QUINTOS,	NGUYEN, DUNG V		
1725 K STR	EET, NW	-			
SUITE 1000	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				3723	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,230	TAKEMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung V Nguyen	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
• •	DIVIS SET TO EVDIDE 2 M	AONTH/S\ EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the maximum statutory per - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum date that the maximum statutory services are served by the Office later than three months after the maximum date of the maximum statutory.	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	<u> 3 July 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	ents have been received.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Bur	•	Ç
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(08) 5) ☐ Notice of (6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

Application/Control Number: 10/647,230

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shiue et al (USPN 5,846,269). Shiue et al discloses a metal-bonded grinding tool comprising a base, abrasive grains bonded to the base by means of a metal bond matrix containing a Cu alloy as a main component, wherein the metal bond matrix contains at least on of an alloy phase, a mixed phase and an intermetallic compound of Zr and Ti, wherein a content of the at least one of an alloy phase, a mixed phase, and an intermetalic compound of Zr and Ti in the metal bond matrix is in a range of 15 to 25 wt%, wherein the Cu alloy consisting of a bronze containing 23 wt% of Sn, wherein the abrasive grains are abrasive grains of diamond or cubic boron nitrate (note col. 3, line 3 to col. 4, line 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,230 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Ş

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiue et al (USPN 5,846,269). Shiue et al discloses the claimed invention as describe above, however, Shiue et al does not disclose that an intermetallic compound of Zr and Ti in the metal bond matrix is in a range of 6.4 to 14.1 wt%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a range 6.4 to 14.1 wt%, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involved only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung V Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30-3:00.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,230 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DVN October 5, 2004

> DUNG VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER